## PRISONER REENTRY, RELIGION AND RESEARCH



#### **Overview**

American prisons at the beginning of the twenty-first century are in crisis. Perhaps the most pervasive problem challenging modern corrections is the ominous nexus of overburdened prison systems and record numbers of prisoners returning to communities each year. Today, exponential increases in incarceration have resulted in more than two million prisoners and well over a half million ex-

Today,

prisoners reentering communities each year. Other challenges include escalating confinement costs in an economic climate of increasing demand for services and declining resources. While formidable, these

challenges provide an opportunity to think more broadly about prospective partners in navigating the prisoner reentry landscape. The following discusses trends in corrections, the role of religion in reentry, and current research. This brief points out that the faith community is perhaps a partner

in prisoner reentry—promoting public safety via the provision of services to support the successful reintegration of returning prisoners.

# Trends in Corrections: Prisoners and Prisoner Reentry

The United States incarcerated 2.1 million persons at yearend 2002. This total represents a 2.6% annual increase in the number of persons held

in Federal and State prisons (1,361,258), territorial prisons (16,206), local jails (665,475), Immigration and Naturalization Service facilities (8,748), military facilities (2,377), jails in Indian country

(1,912), and juvenile facilities (110,284). During the same period, the rate of incarceration in prison was 701 inmates per 100,000 U.S. residents, or 1 in every 143 U.S. residents in prison or jail. At yearend 2002, State prisons were operating at as much as 17% above capacity and Federal

Table 1. Number of Prisoners Held in State or Federal Prisons or in Local Jails 1995-2002

exponential

communities each year.

incarceration have resulted in more

than two million prisoners and well over

a half million ex-prisoners reentering

increases

Year	Total inmates in custody	Federal	State	Inmates in Jail on June 30	Incarceration Rate
1995	1,585,586	89,538	989,004	507,044	601
1996	1,646,020	95,088	1,032,440	518,492	618
1997	1,743,643	101,755	1,074,809	567,079	648
1998	1,816,931	110,793	1,113,676	592,462	669
1999	1,893,115	125,682	1,161,490	605,943	691
2000	1,937,482	133,921	1,176,269	621,149	684
2001	1,961,247	143,337	1,180,155	631, 240	685
2002	2,033,331	151,618	1,209,640	665,475	701
Percent change					
2001-2002	3.7%	5.8%	2.5%	5.4%	
Average Annual					
Increase 1995-2002	3.6%	7.8%	2.9%	4.0%	

Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Bulletin, Prisoners in 2002, July 2003.

prisons were operating at 33% above capacity. Table 1 presents data on the number of prisoners held in Federal or State prisons or in local jails over the past several years.

The correctional population also includes more than 4.7 million adult men and women on probation or parole at yearend 2002. This total represents a record high in the number of U.S. residents being supervised in the community. As the new millennium advances, the total Federal, State,

and local adult correctional population, including those incarcerated and those being supervised in the community, has reached a new high of 6.7 million. At the end of 2002, about 3.1% of the U.S. adult population, or 1 in every 32 adults, were incarcerated or

on probation or parole. Table 2 presents data on the number of persons under adult correctional supervision.<sup>2</sup>

Over the past two decades, exponential increases in incarceration have resulted in more than two million prisoners and over 600,000 ex-prisoners reentering

communities each year. Research findings reveal a trend toward record numbers of prisoners returning home having spent longer terms behind bars. Other findings suggest that returning prisoners are less prepared for life on the outside and that assistance in their reintegration is inadequate.<sup>3</sup> Still other findings indicate that most prisoners returning home have difficulties reconnecting with families, housing, and jobs—and many remain plagued by substance abuse and health problems.<sup>4</sup>

As the new millennium advances, the total Federal, State, and local adult correctional population, including those incarcerated and those being supervised in the community, has reached a new high of 6.7 million.

Today, repeat criminal behavior is among the most troublesome issues facing corrections planners and policymakers. Research results show that 62 percent of state prisoners are rearrested within 3 years after release.

Other results show that 41 percent of releases are returned incarceration. Still other results show that 42 percent of parolees are returned to incarceration following discharge from parole supervision.<sup>5</sup> The cycle of imprisonment among large numbers of individuals, mostly minority men, is increasingly concentrated in urban communities that already

Table 2. Persons Under Adult Correctional Supervision

	Total Estimated	Community Supervision		Incarceration	
Year	Correctional Population	Probation	Parole	Jail	Prison
1995	5,342,900	3,077,861	679,421	597,044	1,078,542
1996	5,490,700	3,164,996	679,733	518,492	1,127,528
1997	5,734,900	3,296,513	694,787	567,079	1,176,564
1998	6,134,200	3,670,441	696,385,	592,462	1,224,496
1999	6,340,800	3,779,922	714,457	605,943	1,287,172
2000	6,445,100	3,826,209	723,898	621,149	1,316,333
2001	6,581,700	3,931,731	732,333	631,240	1,330,007
2002	6,732,400	3,995,165	753,141	665,475	1,367,856
Percent Change					
2001-02	2.3%	1.6%	2.8%	5.4%	2.8%
Average Annual					
Percent Change					
1995-2002	2.8%	3.1%	1.5%	4.0%	3.5%

Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Bulletin. Probation and Parole in the United States, 2002. August 2003.

encounter enormous social and economic disadvantages.<sup>6</sup> Table 3 presents the most recent data on the number of persons leaving prison and returning to communities across the country.

Table 3. Number of State and Federal Inmates Released

Number of Inmates Released*		
423,800		
474,300		
488,700		
514,300		
546,600		
565,700		
600,000		

Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics. State and Federal Prisoners Returning to the Community.

April 2000. Note: \* Inmates with sentences of > than one year only.

The increasing volume of returning prisoners has severe consequences for public safety, state budgets, and society. First, there are public safety concerns. Nearly two-thirds of released prisoners are rearrested for a felony or serious misdemeanor within three years of their release. Rising recidivism rates among returning prisoners translate

thousands of into new victimizations each year. Second, there are fiscal implications. Significant portions of state budgets are now invested in the criminal justice system. Expenditures on corrections alone increased from \$9

billion in 1982 to \$53 billion in 1999. These figures do not include the cost of arrest and sentencing processes, nor do they take into account the cost to victims. Third, there are far-reaching social costs. Prisoner reentry carries the potential for profound collateral consequences, including public health risks, disenfranchisement, homelessness,

and weakened ties among families and communities.7

## Religion and Reentry: The Role of the Church

Since the beginning of prisons and jails, religion has influenced philosophies of punishment and rehabilitation. Whether motivated by religious beliefs or a sense of civic duty, "the church" has helped direct the course of modern corrections. For more than a century, the church has been relied upon to provide spiritual guidance and support to prisoners. The church has also provided, and continues to provide, a widerange of secular services to prisoners, ex-prisoners, and their families. Traditionally, these services include the provision of food, shelter and clothing. Other services involve education, employment, and housing assistance. Still other services include crime prevention, substance abuse counseling and treatment, and victim assistance in communities across the nation. Today, the services provided via the church are vital to increasing public safety. In many instances, local churches provide the aforementioned services in poor, urban environs that are disproportionately impacted by incarceration.

Over the past 25 years, there has been a resurgence of religion in corrections. As a result, increasingly diverse

The cycle of imprisonment among large

numbers of individuals, mostly minority

men, is increasingly concentrated in urban

communities that already encounter enormous

social and economic disadvantages.

faith practices have entered prison settings, and the number of religious services and activities has increased. Today, a variety of faiths are practiced in correctional facilities and there is wide variance among types of religious program services.

While fiscal constraints have reduced religious programming in some instances, nearly every state and federal correctional institution provides support for the four "traditional" denominations—Catholicism, Protestantism, Islamism, and Iudaism.8

The revival of religion in corrections settings is partly attributed to growth in church membership. Research on the largest religious bodies in the United States show that the Roman Catholic Church reported the largest membership (62 million). Other results show that the Southern Baptist Convention recently reported 15.7 million members. In addition, the United Methodist Church reported 8.4 million members and the National Baptist Convention, U.S.A., Inc. reported a membership of 8.2 million. Finally, the Church of God in Christ, perhaps the nation's largest predominantly black denomination, reported 5.4 million members in 1991 and estimated 8.5 million members in 2000.9 Recent trends in church membership suggest both continuity and change among Christians, and an increase in the number of Jews and Muslims. These data also suggest that church members are potential partners in prisoner reentry—particularly in urban communities, which have experienced exponential growth

among "non-denominational" Protestants. 10

The historic role of the church combined with its potential for volunteer resources uniquely position the faith community to support the successful reintegration of returning

prisoners. While the church has historically been in the business of enhancing social services, relatively few faith-based organizations have developed formal partnerships aimed at reducing crime problems. In recent years, however, the faith community has gained prominence in the provision of a variety of criminal justice program services (e.g., life skills development). As a result, federal and state funding for promising faith-based programs to continue their "good works" in partnership with criminal justice agencies is expected to increase.<sup>11</sup>

prisoners.

### Religiosity and Research: Delinquency, Crime and Recidivism

The extant body of research literature is consistent with criminological theories supporting the claim that religious

beliefs are inversely related to deliquency, crime and recidivism. <sup>12</sup> <sup>13</sup> Johnson, De Li, Larson and McCullough (2000) conducted a systematic review of the religiosity and delinquency literature. Results show that the literature is not disparate or contradictory, as previous studies have suggested. Religious measures were generally inversely related to juvenile delinquency in the 13 studies that used reliability testing of religious measures. These findings also show that religiosity had a negative effect on deviance in the most methodologically rigorous studies. While many of the studies did not use random sampling, multiple indicators to control measurement errors, or reliability testing of their measures, the higher-quality studies generally found a negative relationship between religiosity and delinquency. <sup>14</sup>

There is also a growing body of empirical evidence indicating that religious beliefs reduce crime and recidivism among

The historic role of the church combined with

its potential for volunteer resources uniquely

position the faith community to support

the successful reintegration of returning

adult prisoners. Johnson and Larson (2003) conducted a preliminary evaluation of the InnerChange Freedom Initiative, a faith-based prisoner reform program. Results show that program graduates were 50 percent less likely to be rearrested

and 60 percent less likely to be re-incarcerated during a two-year follow-up period. Similarly, Johnson, Larson, and Pitts (1997) estimated the impact of religious programs on institutional adjustment and recidivism rates in two matched groups of inmates from four adult male prisons in New York State. One group had participated in programs sponsored by Prison Fellowship (PF) and the other had no involvement with PF. Results show that PF and non-PF inmates are similar on measures of institutional adjustment (measured by both general and serious prison infractions) and recidivism (measured by arrests during a one-year follow-up period). However, after controlling for level of involvement in PF-sponsored programs, inmates who were most active in Bible studies were significantly less likely to be rearrested during the follow-up period.

In addition, Johnson and Larson (1996) in a study of the relevance of religion in facilitating inmate rehabilitation find that prison culture and the cost of quality treatment programs are among the primary obstacles to prisoner rehabilitation. The authors suggest that religious programs may mollify these barriers. Utilizing a comprehensive research approach, this study provides at least partial support for a framework that helps explain how religious programming may be uniquely suited to both facilitate and augment the ongoing process of prisoner reentry. Results show that religious programs combat the negative effects of prison culture and that religious volunteers are a largely untapped resource pool available to administer educational, vocational, and treatment services at little or no cost.<sup>17</sup>

The aforementioned findings suggest that faith is the forgotten factor in reducing crime problems and religious program research may hold a valuable key to developing criminal justice system solutions. 18 While these and other

prior research findings are promising, the prisoner reentry crisis combined with the resurgence of religion in prisons reveal the need for further research. Rigorous research combined with

strong methodology is required to determine the relevance of religion in facilitating prisoner reentry and reintegration. Additional research is also essential to examine the efficacy of religious programs and their ability to foster pro-social attitudes among prisoners, ex-prisoners, and their families. In addition, further research is necessary to provide information regarding the therapeutic integrity of religious programs as compared to secular alternatives.

# Compassion Capital Fund Research: Kairos Horizon Program Evaluation

The Compassion Capital Fund (CCF) supported four separate research projects, one of which was awarded to Caliber Associates to evaluate the Kairos Horizon Program at Tomoka Prison in Daytona Beach, Florida. The Horizon program

is an outgrowth of Kairos Prison Ministry begun in 1976, which has over 20,000 active volunteers in 270 prisons in the U.S. and abroad. Kairos Horizon Communities, a faithbased residential rehabilitation program for prisoners and their families, seek to address the whole person, by offering mental, spiritual, and emotional support. Begun in 1999, the goals of the yearlong program are to increase personal responsibility, family responsibility and employability. These goals are achieved through volunteer-led programs including informal mentoring, anger and stress management, family relations and fatherhood, financial management, addiction recovery and education. Prisoners in the program also participate in daily devotionals and their choice of religious services. The program at Tomoka Correctional Institution in Daytona Beach (FL) was implemented in 1999, and is the main focus of the ongoing evaluation.

Caliber's approach involves a comprehensive literature review, goals assessment, and program evaluation. The

Rigorous research combined with strong

methodology is required to determine the

relevance of religion in facilitating prisoner

reentry and reintegration.

primary objectives of the study are: (1) to determine the role of the program in supporting individual prisoners, their families, and communities; and (2) to evaluate whether the program represents a "best

practice" for providing services to inmates and their families. Key research questions to be addressed are: (1) what are the goals of relevant State agencies and systems for inmates nearing release and their families; (2) how does the program operate in a prison setting; and (3) what are the effects of the program on participants and their families?

The current research focuses on pre- and post-release effects of the Horizon program on graduates, their families, the community, and relevant agencies/institutions. Our team is currently collecting matched-comparison group data from prison records, inmates and their families, and official records involving infractions, recidivism, employment, and reliance on public aid. Research results are expected to: (1) contribute much to the advancement of knowledge in the field regarding surviving prisoner reentry; (2) identify promising program attributes that facilitate prisoner rehabilitation and reentry;

and (3) determine whether and under what circumstances program participation is likely to reduce crime and recidivism, the sine qua non of desirable correctional interventions.

#### **Conclusion and Next Steps**

American prisons are in crisis. Overcrowded prison systems, record numbers of prisoners returning home, and escalating confinement costs have profound implications for corrections and communities. The faith community, however, is perhaps a partner in prisoner reentry, and is uniquely positioned to provide a variety of services to support the successful reintegration of returning prisoners. Religious program research may hold a valuable key to developing criminal justice system solutions. Further research is required to determine whether and under what circumstances faith-based programs reduce crime and recidivism. The next two issue briefs will provide more detailed information on the ongoing Horizon program evaluation and contribute much to what we know about faith-based interventions and prisoner reentry.

#### **Endnotes**

- Harrison, P. and Beck J. 2003. *Prisoners in 2002.* Washington,
   DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs,
   Bureau of Justice Statistics. NCJ 200248
- Glaze, L. 2003. Probation and Parole in the United States, 2002.
   Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. NCJ 201135
- <sup>3</sup> Travis, J., Solomon, A.J., and Waul, M. 2001. *From Prison to Home: The Dimensions and Consequences of Prisoner Reentry.* Washington, DC: The Urban Institute. NCJ 190429.
- Petersilia, J. 2000. When Prisoners Return to the Community: Political, Economic, and Social Consequences. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice. NCJ 184253.
- Langan, P. and Levin, D. 2002. Recidivism of Prisoners Released in 1994. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics. NCJ 193427

- <sup>6</sup> Travis, J. 2000. But They All Come Back: Rethinking Prisoners Reentry. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice. NCJ 181413.
- U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics. Direct Expenditure on Criminal Justice by Level of Government, 1982-97, 2001. Washington, DC.
- 8 American Correctional Association. Religion in Corrections, 2000.
- <sup>9</sup> Yearbook of American & Canadian Churches, 2000.
- Princeton Religion Research Center, Princeton, NJ, Religion in America, annual. Based on surveys conducted by the Gallup Organization, Inc.
- <sup>11</sup> White House Office of Faith-Based and Community Initiatives.
- Hirchi, Travis. Causes of Delinquency. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1969.
- <sup>13</sup> Gottfredson, Michael R., and Travis Hirchi. *A General Theory of Crime*. Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1990.
- <sup>14</sup> Johnson, Byron R., Spencer De Li, David B. Larson, and Mike McCullough. A Systematic Review of the Religiosity and Delinquency Literature: A Research Note, Journal of Contemporary Criminal Justice, Vol. 16 No.1, February, 2000: 32-52, Sage Publications, Incorporated.
- Johnson, Byron R., and David B. Larson. The InnerChange Freedom Initiative: A Preliminary Evaluation of America's First Faith Based Prison. University of Pennsylvania, CRRUCS (June, 2003).
- Johnson, Byron R., David B. Larson, and Timothy C. Pitts. Religious Programs, Institutional Adjustment, and Recidivism among Former Inmates in Prison Fellowship Programs. Justice Quarterly, Vol. 14 No. 1, (March, 1997). Note: A long-term follow-up study is forthcoming.
- <sup>17</sup> Johnson, Byron R., and David B. Larson. *The Relevance of Religion in Facilitating Inmate Rehabilitation: A Research Note*, 1996.
- <sup>18</sup> Johnson, Byron R., and David B. Larson. *Proposing a Full Range of Intermediate Sanctions: The Potential Benefit of the Faith Factor*, The IARCA Journal on Community Corrections (June, 1995).

### **Contact Information:**

Jeanette M. Hercik, Ph.D. Senior Managing Associate Caliber Associates Phone: (703) 385-3200

Fax: (703) 385-0289 E-mail: hercikj@calib.com

www.calib.com

