# A Study of TANF Work Participation and Engagement

**September 11, 2013** 

**ACF Regions V&VII TANF Priority Update Meeting** 

Michelle Derr • Elizabeth Laird



# **Study Context**

- Increasing federal interest in TANF recipients with zero hours in work participation activities
- Limited documentation on how the recession affected the services and resources available to engage TANF recipients
- State and local TANF agencies are interested in effective strategies for increasing engagement and employment outcomes

#### **Study Description**

#### Research questions:

- What circumstances explain client participation—or nonparticipation—in work activities?
- What strategies have states and localities implemented to increase participation in federally defined and other work or work-related activities?

#### Data collection

- Site visits to 11 communities in 8 states
  - Sonoma County, CA; Hartford and Norwich, CT; Pinellas County, FL;
    Burlington, IA; Hennepin and Stearns counties, MN; New York City, NY;
    Dallas, TX; Wasatch Front North and South Regions, UT
- Telephone interviews with 30 state TANF administrators
- Document review (e.g., policy manuals, management reports, organizational materials, etc.)

#### **Changing TANF Program Environment**

- TANF programs facing:
  - Reduced budgets resulting in fewer staff and limited supports for recipients
  - Increased caseloads/workloads
  - Narrowed service options
- More part-time employment now than in the past
- Some states revisiting TANF program design
- Changing TANF policies and caseload composition

#### Reasons for Limited or No Engagement

- Broadly defined exemptions with no program requirement
- Delays with accessing child care and/or transportation
- Waiting for activities to begin
- Limited service options and personal/work supports
- Part-time work with varying schedules and fluctuating hours

# Reasons for Limited or No Engagement (cont.)

- Inability to quickly detect and address nonparticipation
- Unresponsive sanctioning policy and/or process
- Problems with reporting and verifying work participation

#### **Increasing Engagement and Program Outcomes**

- Administrative Strategies
- Policy/Procedural Strategies
- Work-focused Service Strategies
- Use of Data and Performance Management

#### **Administrative Strategies**

- Clear expectations for clients, staff, and providers
- Training on managing caseloads, reporting/ verifying hours
- Use of specialized workers for participation reporting
- Structuring contracts to increase participation and job placement
- Use of participation incentives for clients

# **Policy/Procedural Strategies**

- Mandatory timelines for staff to complete service delivery processes
- Quickly enforcing consequences for nonparticipation, with safeguards to ensure sanctions are implemented appropriately
- Formal initiatives for improving business processes

#### **Work-focused Service Strategies**

- Quick engagement through upfront work requirements (e.g., orientation, job search)
- Meaningful work activities that motivate and support clients
- Frequent, goal focused interactions between the client and case manager
- Federally funded employment initiatives (subsidized employment)
- Implementation of work-based, specialized programs for those with documented conditions

#### **Use of Data and Performance Management**

- Improvements in data processing and quality
- Management reports that raise awareness of client/ caseload status
  - Caseload activity reports and formal case reviews
  - Developing performance management reports
- Greater emphasis on employment than process measures to improve program outcomes

#### For More Information

- Please contact:
  - Michelle Derr
    - mderr@mathematica-mpr.com
    - (202) 484-4830